

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/712,837	11/10/2000	Robert D. Studdiford	66033-12 (6190-54302)	9281
7590 12/10/2003			EXAMINER	
Robert D. Becker			BAXTER, GWENDOLYN WRENN	
Mannatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLC 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2 Palo Alto, CA 94304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/712,837	STUDDIFORD ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
2	Gwendolyn Baxter	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, r y within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (6 , cause the application to beco	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	larch 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 and 30 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration		
Application Papers	. o.oo.oo. roquiromer	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in all cition is required if the drawing of the drawing or better the drawing	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language processes a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language processes and the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the foreign language processes are considered in the first sentence of the fi	s have been received shave been received rity documents have lu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies c priority under 35 U. st sentence of the specivisional application he c priority under 35 U.	I. I in Application No been received in this National s not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ecification or in an Application has been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachment(s)			
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(see of Informal Patent Application (PTC)r:	

Application/Control Number: 09/712,837 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

This is the fourth office action for serial number 09/712,837, Bicycle Accessory Mounting Apparatus, filed on November 10, 2000. The indicated allowability of claims 1-20 and 30 are withdrawn in view of the following issues presented below. Any inconveniences are regretted.

## Specification

All reissue application filed on or after November 7, 2000, the specification, including the claims must be presented in the form of a copy of the printed patent in double column format.

See 37 CFR 1.173(c)(1). The entire patent to be reissued should be presented including the cover page. Therefore, the single column format is objectionable and the should be replaced by a substitute specification and claims in compliance with 1.173. See MPEP 1411

## Proof of Ownership by Assignee under 37 CFR 3.73(b)

The proof of ownership by assignee under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is improper. This statement must be signed either by someone who has apparent authority to represent the assignee such as the president, vice president or other officer or by anyone provided there is a statement in the 3.73(b) document that the person who has signed the document has been given the authority to represent the assignee. Also, the 3.73(b) statement does not indicate who the assignee is. This must be made clear in the 3.73(b) statement. See MPEP section 324.

Application/Control Number: 09/712,837 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

### Defective Reissue Declaration

The reissue declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP section 1414. Applicant must identify specific error(s) to be relied upon.

Additionally, specific changes or amendments must be discussed and if new claims are added, their differences from the patent claims must be pointed out. See MPEP section 1414.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 and 30 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9, 11-14, 16, 19 and 20 of

Application/Control Number: 09/712,837

Page 4

Art Unit: 3632

13

copending Application No. 10/301,324. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. '324 claims a mounting base and cinching or strap member. The mounting base has a first and second ends, a mounting base slot for receiving a cinching member therethrough. Furthermore, the mounting base has a mounting base first curved portion at the first end, a mounting base second curved surface at the second end, and a mounting base left and right slot openings. The cinching member is adapted to slide through the slot and secure first and second objects at the mounting base first and second curved portions, respectively. The cinching member has a fabric strap having a fabric strap first hook portion, a fabric strap first loop portion, a fabric strap second hook portion and a fabric strap second loop portion. The strap includes a fabric strap buckle and is secured to the fabric strap buckle engagement portion functioning to facilitate the securing of the fabric strap. The mounting base is flexible so as to allow the mounting base to deform and has a shore-A hardness of 40-85. The mounting base first and second curved portion having an arcuate surface adapted to engage a surface of a support or accessory.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/712,837

Art Unit: 3632

Remarks

Applicant has filed a continuation application 10/301,324 filed November 20, 2002.

Applicant should clarify his intent to file application 10/301,324 as a continuation of the reissue

application 09/712,837. See In re Graff, 42 USPQ2d 1471.

If applicant amends the patented claims as a result of any subsequent prosecution such as a

double patenting rejection, a supplemental declaration will be required to cover the errors being

corrected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Gwendolyn Baxter whose telephone number is (703) 308-0702. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Eastern Time

Zone.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113. The fax phone

number for this Group is (703) 305-3597.

gb

December 1, 2003

GWENDOLYN BAXTER
PATENT EXAMINER

And Line 3632

Page 5